



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,515	10/20/2000	Silverbrook Kia	NPA046US	7941
24011 7	90 · 01/06/2005 EXAMINER			
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET			PHAM, THIERRY L	
BALMAIN,	2041		ART UNIT ·	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALÍA			2624	
		DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ederations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(p). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SX (6) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximus date of the communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended above, the maximus activate precise via High past and will expise 3X (8) MONTH'S crow the making date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended plan these provise after the making date of this communication, which is the set of extended plan the model of the communication, which is the set of extended plant term adjustment. Set 37 CPR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amendment filed on 8/5/04.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-24 and 26-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-3, 19 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 4-18,20-22 and 26-37 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  4) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *0 Non	• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Therry L Pham  2524  — The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of the map has a realised under the provisions of 3 FCR 1.73(b), in no event, however, may a riply be timely filed  Extensions of the price of the riply a specified shows is less than this! (30) days, as reply within the adductive minimum of this! (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for riply a specified shows is less than this! (30) days, as reply within the adductive minimum of this! (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for riply a specified shows is less than this! (30) days, as reply within the adductive minimum of this! (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for riply a specified shows, the maximum standory priced value and will reply and will reply a specified the communication.  If the period for riply a specified shows, the maximum standory priced value and will reply within the standory minimum of this! (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for riply a specified shows, the maximum standory priced value and will reply within the standory minimum of this! (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for riply a specified this communication.  Provided the specified of this communication.  It is a clion is FinAL.  It is a clion is paperated the provided of the communication.  Provided in a coordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  It is a clion is finAL.  It is a clion is finAL		09/693,515	KIA ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time map be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled with the continuous data than the vision of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled with the continuous data than the vision of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled with the continuous data than the vision of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled with the continuous data of the continuous d	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editorization of lines may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(d), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled.  Elith period for early specified above, the maintening and a station proteins with the statisticy minimum of bring (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for early specified above, the maintening station proteins will apply and vill opine SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failite in grid yilling the state of section of bring station proteins and particular them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.24 and 28-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1.24 and 28-37 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 4.82.0-22 and 28-37 slare rejected.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  11) Sharper Note P		L					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edetaiosing of time may be available under the provisions of 30°CR1 135(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled other 30′K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  I this prince of may be precided by the communication of the communication of the provision of the communication of the provision of the provision of the mailing date of the communication.  Fallule to reply width in the set or extended period for reply will. by attatlor, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office after than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any overnet period to the set of extended period for reply will. by attatlor, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any overnet period to the set of the communication of the	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amendment filed on 8/5/04.  2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 and 26-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)☑ Claim(s) 1-3, 19 is/are allowed.  6)☑ Claim(s) 4-18.20-22 and 26-37 is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) objection (PTO-152)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 and 26-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 19 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 13 19 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-982)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status						
3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-24 and 26-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s) 1-3.19 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 4-18.20-22 and 26-37 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b) Some c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08)  **Joe Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08)  **Joe Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08)							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	<u>i —                                     </u>	i i					
All b  Suscessition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-24 and 26-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-3, 19 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-18,20-22 and 26-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Dale  Paper No(s)/Mail Dale  5) Notice of Internal Papication (PTO-152)	,—						
4)	ciosed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to nestriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3. 19 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4-18.20-22 and 26-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3 ☑ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Not(s)/Mail Date 9 Paper Not(s)/Mail Date 9 Paper Not(s)/Mail Date 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 26-37</u> is/are pending in the	4) Claim(s) 1-24 and 26-37 is/are pending in the application.					
6)  Claim(s) 4-18,20-22 and 26-37 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  9)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· - · · · - · · ·	r alastian requirement					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ② ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)	_					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F					

Page 2

• This action is responsive to the following communication: an Amendment filed on 8/5/04.

• Amended to original filed specification to update the list of co-pending applications with US application numbers or granted patent numbers have been received and acknowledged on 8/5/04.

• Claims 1-24, 26-37 are pending in application; Claim 25 has been canceled.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4-11, 15-17, 20-22, 26-30, 32-34, 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tabata et al (U.S. 6537324), and in view of Kasabach et al (U.S. 6628847).

Regarding claim 4, Tabata discloses a method of enabling graphic design by means of computer system (computer system, fig. 1), the method including the steps of:

• printing (printer 40, fig. 1, col. 5, lines 38-45) on demand, on a surface, a form (form, fig. 2) containing information relating to a graphic design activity, and at the same time as printing the information (a form containing graphics, texts, and coded data, figs. 2 and 5, col. 8, lines 31-67 to col. 9, lines 1-67 and col. 10, lines 12-30), printing on the surface coded data (coded data identifying linkage information of the form, fig. 2, col. 8, lines 32-50 to col. 9, lines 1-67 and col. 10, lines 12-30) indicative of at least one parameter of the graphic design activity (i.e. linkage information specifying a position of each of Dicons on the form, col. 9, lines 13-30 and 10, lines 12-30);

Art Unit: 2624

• receiving (receiving coded data via a file server, fig. 3, 18-19), in a computer system, data from sensing device (sensing device 50 for sensing the coded data on the form, fig. 5) regarding said at least one parameter and the sensing device, when moved relative to the form, sensing the data regarding said at least one parameter using at least some of the coded data (coded data identifying linkage information of the form, fig. 2, col. 8, lines 32-50 and col. 10, lines 12-30)

However, Tabata fails to explicitly disclose a sensing device for sensing movement of the sensing device relative to the form and generating the data regarding its own movement relative to the form, and interpreting, in the computer system, said movement of the sensing device as it relates to said at least one parameter.

Kasabach, in the same field of endeavor for sensing device, teaches a sensing device for sensing movement of the sensing device relative to the form and generating the data regarding its own movement relative to the form (position sensor for sensing the location of the sensing device relative to the form/paper, figs. 3-5, col. 3, lines 8-48), and interpreting, in the computer system, said movement of the sensing device as it relates to said at least one parameter (position sensor for sensing the location of the sensing device relative to the form/paper, figs. 3-5, col. 3, lines 8-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Tabata as per teachings of Kasabach because of a following reason: (1) to accurately determine the exact location of the sensing device relative to the form to prevent positional errors.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Tabata with Kasabach to obtain the invention as specified in claim 4.

Regarding claims 5-8, Kasabach further teaches the method of claim 1 in which the parameter is an action parameter of the graphic design activity, the method including effecting, in the computer system, an operation in respect of the action parameter (writing and drawing, abstract and col. 3, lines 1-67 and font size, col. 7, lines 60-67).

Regarding claims 9-11, Kasabach further teaches the method of claim 1 in which the parameter is a text parameter of the graphic design activity, the method including identifying, in the computer system, that a user has entered handwritten text data (handwritten text data, abstract and cols. 6-8) by means of the sensing device and effecting, in the computer system, an operation associated with the text parameter.

Regarding claims 15-17, Kasabach further teaches the method of claim 1 in which the parameter is a drawing parameter (col. 6, lines 52-67 and col. 7, lines 15-67) of the graphic design activity, the method including identifying, in the computer system, that a user has entered hand-drawn graphic element (col. 6, lines 52-67 and col. 7, lines 15-67) by means of the sensing device (writing pen, fig. 5) and effecting, in the computer system, an operation associated with the drawing parameter.

Regarding claim 20, Tabata further teaches the method of claim 1 in which the sensing device contains an identification which imparts a unique identity (col. 3, lines 15-60) to the sensing device and identifies it as being associated with a particular user and in which the method includes monitoring, in the computer system, said identity.

Regarding claim 21, Kasabach further teaches the method of claim 1 including providing all required information relating to the graphic design activity (cols. 6-8) in the form to eliminate the need for a separate display device.

Regarding claim 22, Tabata further teaches the method of claim 1 in which the form is printed (printer 40, fig. 1) on multiple pages and in which the method includes binding the pages (method for binding pages are known in the art, i.e. using stapler, tape, clips, and etc).

Regarding claims 26-30, 32-34, 36-37 recite limitations that are similar and in the same scope of invention as to those in claims 4-11, 15-17, 20-22 above; therefore, claims 26-30, 32-

Application/Control Number: 09/693,515

Art Unit: 2624

34, 36-37 are rejected for the same rejection rationale/basis as described in claims 4-11, 15-17,

20-22.

3. Claims 12-14, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tabata

and Kasabach as applied to claim 1 and/or 23 above, and further in view of Wolff et al (U.S.

6081261).

Regarding claims 12-14, and 31, the combinations of Tabata and Kasabach teach the

method of handwritten recognition (Kasabach, col. 6-7), but does not explicitly teach the method

in which the parameter is an authorization parameter of the graphic design activity, the method

including identifying, in the computer system, that a user has entered a handwritten signature by

means of the sensing device and effecting, in the computer system, an operation associated with

the authorization parameter.

Wolff, in the same field of endeavor for handwritten recognition and sensing device,

teaches the method in which the parameter is an authorization parameter of the graphic design

activity, the method including identifying, in the computer system, that a user has entered a

handwritten signature (signature verification, col. 2, lines 58-62 and col. 8, lines 56-67) by

means of the sensing device and effecting, in the computer system, an operation associated with

the authorization parameter.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

was made to modify Tabata and Kasabach as per teachings of Wolff because of a following

reason: (1) to prevent forgers/unauthorized users accessing confidential materials/documents by

using signature verification technique.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Tabata and Kasabach with Wolff to

obtain the invention as specified in claims 12-14, and 31.

4. Claims 18 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tabata

and Kasabach as applied to claim 1 and/or 23 above, and further in view of Dymetman et al

(U.S. 6330976).

Page 5

Regarding claims 18 and 35, the combinations of Tabata and Kasabach do not explicitly teach the method includes printing the coded data to be substantially invisible in the visible spectrum.

Dymetman, in the same field of endeavor for sensing device, teaches the method includes printing the coded data to be substantially invisible in the visible spectrum (col. 11, lines 45-67 to col. 12, lines 1-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Tabata and Kasabach as per teachings of Dymetman because of a following reason: (1) to hide important coded data from being visible to an unauthorized users.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Tabata and Kasabach with Dymetman to obtain the invention as specified in claims 18 and 35.

### Response to Arguments

• Applicant's arguments, see page 12-13, filed on 8/5/04, with respect to claims 1 & 23 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-3, 19, and 23-24 has been withdrawn. However, independent claims 4 and independent claim 26 fail to amend the allowable features/limitations include "sensing device for sensing its own movement relative to the form using at least some of the coded data"; therefore, independent claims 4, 26, and all of its preceding dependent claims are rejected for the same rationale/basis as discussed in previous office action, please see claim 4 above for more details.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3, 19, 23-24 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The cited prior arts of record fail to teach and/or suggest the features: (•) receiving, in the computer system, data regarding movement of the sensing device relative to the form, the sensing device for sensing "its movement relative to the form using at least some of the coded data".

Art Unit: 2624

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L Pham whose telephone number is (703) 305-1897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K Moore can be reached on (703)308-7452. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thierry L. Pham

GABRIEL GARQIA